

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                | FILING DATE                                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO           |  |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 10/666,321                     | 09/18/2003                                     | Martin Wirth         | 81077623                | 7895                      |  |
| 22844                          | 7590 04/07/2005                                |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER                  |  |
| FORD GLOBAL TECHNOLOGIES, LLC. |  |                      | ARGENBRIGHT,            | ARGENBRIGHT, TONY MICHAEL |  |
|                                | 6 600 - PARKLANE TOWERS EAST<br>PARKLANE BLVD. |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER              |  |
| DEARBORN                       | N, MI 48126                                    |                      | 3747                    |                           |  |
|                                |  |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005 |                           |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Madia - CAL - L  | 10/666,321  | WIRTH ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | T. M. Argenbright   | 3747   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   |   |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |   |  |  |  |  |
| _  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the O (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time)   | of Mailing or Transmission dated<br>of month(s)) which expired on _ | ), which is after the expiration of the                                |  |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with  | filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                           |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).        |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,), which is after the expiration of the statutor Allowance (PTOL-85).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bala  | ance of \$ is due.  |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha   | s not been received.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as a Allowability (PTO-37).  | required by, and within the three-month                             | period set in, the Notice of   |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.   | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra                               | nsmission dated), which is   |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.  | the attorney or agent of record, the ass                            | signee of the entire interest, or all of                               |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  | an attorney or agent (acting in a repre                             | sentative capacity under 37 CFR  |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte of the decision has expired and there are no allowed of  | rference rendered on and becau<br>claims.                           | se the period for seeking court review                                 |  |  |  |
| 7. The reason(s) below:  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| ,  |   | To an April<br>T. M. Argenbright<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3747 |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.             |   |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office   | ce of Abandonment   | Part of Paper No. 040042005  |  |  |  |